Appl'n No: 10/758,909 Amdt dated March 2, 2005

Reply to Office action dated December 2, 2004

REMARKS

Claims 1-18 remain in the application. Claims 1 and 10 are in independent form.

Claims 6, 10 and 11 have been amended.

First, the disclosure stands objected to because the Examiner indicates the

informalities in paragraph 15, line 5, reference numeral "22" should be changed to "32".

Applicant has amended the description of paragraph as suggest by the Examiner to correct the

typographical error as noted.

Second, claims 6-9 stand objected to because of certain informalities indicated by the

Examiner. Applicant has amended claim 6 to correctly set forth "outer panel includes a lip

flange extending over said lower wall", as suggested by the Examiner.

Additionally, claims 10-12 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated

by Furubayashi et al. (US 4,838,606). The Examiner contends that Furubayashi et al

discloses an inner structural panel 11 having a support surface 12 with a plurality of ribs 12a

extending laterally along the support surface and a reinforcing panel 16 secured to the ribs to

box the inner structural panel.

In response, Applicant has amended independent claim 10 to set forth an inner

structural panel (26) for a tailgate assembly of a motor vehicle comprising: a support surface

(34) having a plurality of spaced apart ribs (46) extending laterally [[along]] from said

support surface (34) to a free distal end; and a reinforcing panel (64, 66) secured to said distal

end of at least two of said plurality of ribs (46) to box said inner structural panel (26).

Additionally, claim 11 has been amended to further set forth each of said plurality of ribs (46)

includes a top flange (50) extending transverse from said distal end thereof for receiving said

reinforcing panel (64, 66) therealong.

6

3361973v1 19351/095804 Appl'n No: 10/758,909

Amdt dated March 2, 2005

Reply to Office action dated December 2, 2004

Furubayashi et al. does not disclose, teach or suggest a plurality of spaced apart ribs

extending laterally from the support surface to a free distal end and a reinforcing panel

secured to the distal ends of at least two of the ribs. Rather, Furubayashi et al. discloses and

teaches only a single sinusoidal shaped body portion defined by parallel grooves 12a. The

parallel grooves clearly can not be characterized as being spaced apart and extending

transversely from the planar support surface to a free distal end wherein the free distal ends

support and secure the reinforcing panel. Additionally, Furubayashi et al. clearly also does

not disclose, teach or suggest each of the ribs including a flange extending transversely from

the free distal end thereof for securing and supporting the reinforcing panel.

Therefore, amended claims 10 and 11 clearly distinguish Applicant's invention over

the cited prior art and the rejection should be withdrawn.

Finally, claims 1-5 are indicated as being allowed and therefore remain the same.

Claims 6-9 are indicated as being allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the

objections in the Office Action. And, claims 13-18 stand objected to as being dependent

upon a rejected base claim, but are indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent

form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In light of

the amendments to claims 10 and 11 as set forth hereinabove, Applicant submits that claims

12-18 are also now in condition for allowance.

7

3361973v1 19351/095804 It is respectfully submitted that this patent application is in condition for allowance, which allowance is respectfully solicited. If the Examiner has any questions regarding this amendment or patent application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Robin W. Asher (Reg. No. 41,590)

Clark Hill PLC

500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 3500

Detroit, MI 48226-3435

(313) 965-8300

Date: March 2, 2005

Attorney Docket No: 19351-095804